

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/065,667	11/07/2002		Michael F. Lancaster		9299
33133	7590	02/11/2004		EXAM	INER
NOSHOK, I			BASTIANELLI, JOHN		
1010 W. BAGLEY RD. BEREA, OH 44017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3754	
				DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		_ 4					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/065,667	LANCASTER, MICHAEL F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Bastianelli	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, m y within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) , cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 l</u>	November 2002 .						
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application h	as been received.					
Attachment(s)	- •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) rr:					

Application/Control Number: 10/065,667

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claim numbers should be #'s and not [c#]. The claims are replete with grammatical errors, non-antecedent basis problems, and just plain bad English. The examiner is barely able to discern what the applicant is talking about in some cases. For example, in claim 1, line 4 of the claim, before "soft" insert -a--. In claim 1, line 5 of the claim, before "soft" insert --the--. In claim 1, lines 6, 8, and 11 of the claim, make the first word lower case. Also, "annular seat", "said seat" and "said body seat" are used. Are these all the same seat? Also, please use one consistently. In claim 1, line 15, what is "said seal"? These are just a few examples.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/065,667

Art Unit: 3754

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 7, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4, and 6-7, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spies, Jr. US 3,472,481.

Spies discloses a rotatable valve having an improvement having a conical shaped soft tip 60 having a tip diameter smaller and larger than a valve seat 26 (Fig. 1), a cylindrical mounting means 38 having an inwardly facing annular shoulder and a hole 40, retaining means 58 having a thin tubular section greater than the diameter of the soft tip. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (the soft tip is cold formed to the shape of the seal). If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). The soft tip retaining means 58 limits inward movement of the soft tip. The soft

Art Unit: 3754

tip retaining means allows rotation and the soft tip does not. The soft tip material is a polymer (col. 4, lines 13-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3 and 5, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spies, Jr. US 3,472,481 in view of Scapes US 4,119,296.

Spies lacks the retaining means limiting the radial expansion of the soft tip and acting as a secondary metal to metal seal. Scapes discloses retaining means 39 limiting the radial expansion of the soft tip 32 and acting as a secondary metal to metal seal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the retaining means of Spies cover the soft tip to limit the radial expansion as disclosed by Scapes in order to keep the pressure of the seal in the valve seat thus providing better sealing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the retaining means of Spies cover the soft tip to act as a secondary seal as disclosed by Scapes in order to have plural seating thus providing better sealing around the valve seat.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,667

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

Page 5

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Szwargulski discloses a conical soft tip having a diameter smaller and larger than a valve seat with cylindrical mounting means and retaining means. Stover, Bankard, Murray and Koons disclose soft tips having a diameter smaller and larger than a valve seat.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-0058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> John Bastianelli **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3754

February 4, 2004